

October 14, 2009, 02:26:09 PM

Search...

Home Boards Gallery Radio Help Events Sign In Register

STATS BOX

Month: October 2009
Page Views: 92729
Currently Online: 75
Most Online: 113
New Members: 5
Total Members: 1309
Newest Member: Bamagirl2135653

EXTRAS

- Movie Room
Online Radio
Watch TV
Gallery
Downloads
Contact Us

Make A Donation

October Goal: \$10.00
Due Date: Oct 31
Gross Amount: \$0.00
PayPal Fees: \$0.00
Net Balance: \$0.00
Below Goal: \$10.00



overstock.com
Watches
Up to 70% Off & \$2.95 Shipping
Wenger Standard Issue Men's... Only \$194.99

ThirdEye Concept > Forum > Duality > The Eye > 'Big Wave' Theory Offers Alternative to Dark Energy

Pages: [1] Go Down

SEND THIS TOPIC | PRINT


Author Topic: 'Big Wave' Theory Offers Alternative to Dark Energy (Read 59 times)

jake Explorer
☆☆☆

Karma: +200/-0
Offline

Joined: June 19, 2008, 02:28:43 PM
United States

Undisclosed
Posts: 181



'Big Wave' Theory Offers Alternative to Dark Energy
on: August 27, 2009, 12:40:02 PM

Mathematicians have proposed an alternative explanation for the accelerating expansion of the universe that does not rely on the mystifying idea of dark energy.

According to the new proposition, the universe is not accelerating, as observations suggest. Instead, an expanding wave flowing through space-time has caused distant galaxies to appear to be accelerating away from us. This big wave, initiated after the Big Bang that is thought to have sparked the universe, could explain why objects today appear to be farther away from us than they should be according to the Standard Model of cosmology.

"We're saying that maybe the resulting expanding wave is actually causing the anomalous acceleration," said Blake Temple of the University of California, Davis. "We're saying that dark energy may not really be the correct explanation."

The researchers derived a set of equations describing expanding waves that fit Einstein's theory of general relativity, and which could also account for the apparent acceleration. Temple outlines the new idea with Joel Smoller of the University of Michigan in the Aug. 17 issue of the journal Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

While more research will be needed to see if the idea holds up, "the research could change the way astronomers view the composition of our universe," according to a summary from the journal.

To convince other cosmologists, the new model will have to pass muster with further inquiry.

"There are many observational tests of the standard cosmological model that the proposed model must pass, aside from the late phase of accelerated expansion," said Avi Loeb, director of the Institute for Theory and Computation at the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. "These include big bang nucleosynthesis, the quantitative details of the microwave background anisotropies, the Lyman-alpha forest, and galaxy surveys. The authors do not discuss how their model compares to these tests, and whether the number of free parameters they require in order to fit these observational constraints is smaller than in the standard model. Until they do so, it is not clear why this alternative model should be regarded as advantageous."

Johns Hopkins University astrophysicist Mario Livio agreed that to be seriously considered, the model must be able to predict properties of the universe that astronomers can measure.

He said the real test "is in whether they are able to reproduce all the observed cosmological parameters (as determined, e.g. by a combination of the Hubble Constant and the parameters

determined by the CMB observations). To only produce an apparent acceleration is in itself interesting, but not particularly meaningful."

Inconvenient truths

Dark energy is itself a hasty fix to an inconvenient truth discovered by astronomers in the late 1990s: that the universe is expanding, and the rate of this expansion seems to be constantly picking up speed.

To explain this startling finding, cosmologists invoked dark energy, a hypothetical form of energy that is pulling the universe apart in all directions (note that dark energy is wholly separate from the equally mysterious concept of dark matter - a hypothetical form of matter that populates the universe, interacting gravitationally with normal matter, but which cannot be seen with light). In this interpretation, the whole universe is blowing up like a balloon, and from any given point within it, all distant objects appear to be speeding away from you.

But not everyone is happy with the dark energy explanation.

"It just seems like an unnatural correction to the equations - it's like a fudge factor," Temple told SPACE.com. "The equations don't make quite as much physical sense when you put it in. You just put it in to fit the data."

Temple and Smoller think the idea of an expanding wave makes more sense.

"At this stage we think this a very plausible theory," Temple said. "We're saying there isn't any acceleration. The galaxies are displaced from where they're supposed to be because we're in the aftermath of a wave that put those galaxies in a slightly different position."

Ripples in a pond


Temple compared the wave to what happens when you throw a rock into a pond. In this case, the rock would be the Big Bang, and the concentric ripples that result are like a series of waves throughout the universe. Later on, when the first galaxies start to form, they are forming inside space-time that has already been displaced from where it would have been without the wave. So when we observe these galaxies with telescopes, they don't appear to be where we would expect if there had never been a big wave.

One potential issue with this idea is that it might require a big coincidence.

For the universe to appear to be accelerating at the same rate in all directions, we in the Milky Way would have to be near a local center, at the spot where an expansion wave was initiated early in the Big Bang when the universe was filled with radiation.

Temple concedes that this is a coincidence, but said it's possible that we are merely in the center of a smaller wave that affects the galaxies we can see from our vantage point - we need not be in the center of the entire universe for the idea to work.

<http://www.space.com/scie...k-energy-alternative.html>

Report to moderator    
 Logged

ThirdEye  
Concept


 **'Big Wave' Theory Offers Alternative to Dark Energy**  
« on: August 27, 2009, 12:40:02 PM »

How To Make Electricity :

Don't ever pay for electricity, you can make it simple & cheap at home.

 Logged

Tags:





Pages: [1] [Go Up](#)

[SEND THIS TOPIC](#) | [PRINT](#)


Jump to:

---

Powered by SMF | SMF © 2006-2007, Simple Machines LLC  
TinyPortal v0.9.8 © Bloc | DarkBreak by DzinerStudio  
[Website Disclaimer & Rules](#) | [ThirdEyeConcept.Com](#) © 2005-2009

**Open 24 Hours a Day**

**Support the site and vote for us below!**



[SAVE THE NET](#)