GR Simple-Waves Trigger An Instability in SM which creates the Anomalous Acceleration without Dark Energy

Colloquium, ETH Zurich Switzerland, March 24, 2015

Blake Temple, UC-Davis

Collaborators: Joel Smoller and Zeke Vogler

2007 PI talk in Relativity Session at **AMS** National Meeting in New Orleans: We proposed the idea that a **Simple Wave** from the Radiation Epoch of the Big Bang might account for the Anomalous

Acceleration of the Galaxies Without Dark Energy

Our Motivation The Radiation Epoch: After Inflation until about 30,000 years after the Big Bang **Evolution by Relativistic Compressible Euler Equations**

The *p*-system with $p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$

PURE RADIATION

Stefan-Bolzman Law:

$$\rho = a'I'^4$$
$$p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$$

(No Contact Discontinuities)

The *p*-system with:

Enormous sound speed $\sigma \approx .57c$

Enormous modulus of Genuine Nonlinearity

Every characteristic field contributes to Decay in the sense of Glimm and Lax

It is reasonable to expect fluctuations would decay to simple wave patterns by the end of radiation

This is our Starting Assumption

Uncoupling of Matter and Radiation

 $t\approx 3\times 10^5$

(Neglect Radiation Pressure)

 $p \approx 0$

Time of CMB 379,000 yr

(Relativistic *p*-system)

Pure Radiation

 10^{-30} to 3×10^5 yrs

 $p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$

Stages of the Standard Model:

Inflation

Bang

 $10^{-35}s$

to

 $10^{-30}s$

Pursuing this idea, we identified a one parameter family of self-similar waves that perturb the Standard Model during the radiation epoch, and proposed that these might induce an **Anomalous Acceleration** at a later time. We set out our ideas in **PNAS** in 2009 and Memoirs of the AMS in 2011

Our interest is in the possible connection between these waves and the Anomalous Acceleration.

In Fact: This family of self-similar solutions was already known to exist

Cahill and Taub:

Commun Math Phys., 21, 1-40 (1971)

Extended by others, esp. Carr and Coley, Survey: Physical Review D, 62,044023-1-25 (1999)

The record is clear on one thing:

No one before us proposed this family of waves as a mechanism that could account for the **Anomalous Acceleration** without Dark Energy

We have now accomplished our goal of bringing the effects of these waves up to present time to compare with Dark Energy.

> There are several surprises In this talk I present what we have found...

Surprisingly, the perturbations at the end of radiation do not directly cause the Anomalous Acceleration as we originally conjectured,

Rather, it is the non-trivial phase portrait of the instability they trigger when p=0 that that creates the later accelerations

INTRODUCTION TO COSMOLOGY

Edwin Hubble (1889-1953)

• Hubble's Law (1929):

`The galaxies are receding from us at a velocity proportional to distance"



Based on Redshift vs Luminosity

Universe measured to 1% accuracy

By James Morgan Science reporter, BBC News, Washington DC

Astronomers have measured the distances between galaxies in the universe to an accuracy of just 1%.

This staggeringly precise survey - across six billion light-years - is key to mapping the cosmos and determining the nature of dark energy.

The new gold standard was set by BOSS (the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey) using the Sloan Foundation Telescope in New Mexico, US.



Frozen ripples The BOSS team used baryon acoustic oscillations (BAOs) as a "standard ruler" to measure intergalactic distances.

BAOs are the "frozen" imprints of pressure waves that moved through the early universe - and help set the distribution of galaxies we see today.

"Nature has given us a beautiful ruler," said Ashley Ross, an astronomer from the University of Portsmouth.

"The ruler happens to be half a billion light years long, so we can use it to measure distances precisely, even from very far away."



Conclude: The universe appears (and is assumed) uniform on a scale of about I/20th the distance across the visible universe



10 billion light-years pprox Visible Universe

500 million light-years \approx Uniform Density



50 million light-years ~ Separation between
 clusters of galaxies

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$

• I million light-years $\approx\,$ separation between galaxies in a cluster

100 thousand light-years \approx distance across Milky Wave

• 28 thousand light-years \approx distance to galactic center

Standard Model of Cosmology

• **|922** *Alexander Friedmann*:

Derived FRW solutions of the Einstein equations: 3-space of constant curvature expanding in time:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \left\{ \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right\}$$

The Big Bang theory based on the FRW metric was worked out by <u>George Lemaître</u> in the late 1920's leading to Hubble's comfirmation of redshift vs luminoscity consistent with an FRW spacetime

Hubble's Constant
$$\equiv H \equiv \frac{\dot{R}}{R}$$

In 1935: Howard Robertson and Arthur Walker derived Friedmann spacetime from the

Copernican Principle: "Earth is not in a special place in the Universe"

 R-W: Friedmann uniquely determined by condition Homogeneous and Isotropic about every point Any point can be taken as r=0Each t=const surface is a 3-space of constant scalar curvature

Standard Model of Cosmology

Observations of the micro-wave background IMPLY k = 0

"Critical expansion to within about 2-percent"

The Friedmann metric when k=0:

 $ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \{ dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \}$

The universe is infinite flat space \mathbb{R}^3 at each fixed time:

(Assumed to Apply on the Largest Length Scale)

Standard Model of Cosmology

• FRW metric, k=0:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \left\{ dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right\}$$

• D = Rr Measures distance between galaxies at each fixed t

• Conclude:

$$\dot{D} = \dot{R}r = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}Rr = HD$$

$$\dot{D} = HD \leftarrow Hubble's Law$$
Hubble's Constant = $H = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}$

Standard Model of Cosmology

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \left\{ dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right\}$$

• Hubble's Law:

$$\dot{D} = HD$$

Conclude--

``The universe is expanding like a balloon"



The Hubble "Constant" at present time

The inverse Hubble Constant estimates the Age of the Universe

 $\frac{1}{H_0} \approx 10^{10}$ years \approx age of universe

• $\frac{c}{H_0}$ is the distance of light travel since the Big Bang, a measure of the size of the visible universe

 $\frac{c}{H_0}$ = Hubble Length $\approx 10^{10} \ light years$



Up until 1999, we could only measure the leading linear term:



Friedmann k = 0



 $mpc \approx 3.2$ million light years

"A galaxy at a distance of one mega-parsec is receding at about 68 kilometers per second..."



Recent supernova data have tested the dependence of the Hubble constant on time, and the results don't fit standard model...

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + \frac{1}{4} z^2 - \frac{1}{8} z^3 + O(z^4)$$

Friedmannk = 0

This is measured at about .425 not .25 Recent supernova data have tested the dependence of the Hubble constant on time, and the results don't fit standard model...

This is usually interpreted in terms of a Best Fit to Friedmann Universes with the Cosmological Constant $(k, \Omega_{\Lambda}) \blacktriangleright k = 0, \ \Omega_{\Lambda} \approx .7$



That is: To preserve the Copernican Principle, that the Universe on the Largest Length Scale is evolving according to a **Uniform Friedmann Spacetime** with p=0, k=0 A Cosmological Constant must be added To Einstein's Equations

The Physical Interpretation is Dark Energy



Einstein Equations for Friedmann:

• Einstein Equations (1915): $G_{ij} = \kappa T_{ij}$

 G_{ij} =Einstein Curvature Tensor

 $T_{ij} = (\rho + p)u_iu_j + pg_{ij}$ =Stress Energy Tensor (perfect fluid)

Einstein Equations for k=0 Friedmann metric:

$$H^{2} = \frac{\kappa}{3}\rho$$
$$\dot{\rho} = -3(\rho + p)H$$

Solutions determined by equation of state: $p = p(\rho)$

Incorporating Dark Energy into Friedmann

• Assume Einstein equations with a cosmological constant:

$$G_{ij} = 8\pi T_{ij} + \Lambda g_{ij}$$

- Assume k = 0 FRW:
- Leads to:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \left\{ dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right\}$$

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}\rho + \frac{\kappa}{3}\Lambda$$

• Divide by
$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}\rho_{crit}$$
 $1 = \Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda$

• Best data fit leads to $\Omega_{\Lambda} \approx .7$ and $\Omega_{M} \approx .3$

Implies: The universe is 70 percent dark energy



Best Fit: 70% Dark Energy 30% Classical Energy

- m M = "Distance Modulus"
 M=absolute Magnitude
 m=apparent magnitude
- d=distance in parsecs:
 - $m M = 5 \log(d) 5$
- z=redshift factor

$$1 + z = \frac{\lambda_{emit}}{\lambda_{obs}}$$

• $\Omega_m + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$ for a flat (k = 0) universe.


The Question we Explore:

"Could the Anomalous Acceleration of the galaxies be due to the fact that we are looking outward into an expansion wave that formed during the Radiation Epoch of the Big Bang?"

The Question we Explore:

"Could the Anomalous Acceleration of the galaxies be due to the fact that we are looking outward into an expansion wave during the Radiation Epoch of the Big Bang?"



The Einstein equations have been confirmed without the cosmological constant in every setting except cosmology...

The Question we Explore:

"Could the Anomalous Acceleration of the galaxies be due to the fact that we are looking outward into an expansion wave during the Radiation Epoch of the Big Bang?"

The Einstein equations have been confirmed without the cosmological constant in every setting except cosmology...

Note: A general expansion wave has a center of expansion...

Summary of our results for the Wave Theory

Hubbles Law :



Measured value: $H_0 = h_0 \frac{100 km}{s mpc}$

 $h_0 \approx .68$

The 1999 Supernova data was refined enough to measure the quadratic correction to Hubble's Relation:



Einstein's Equations: $G = \kappa T + \Lambda g$ Cosmological $\Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$ Constant 1999

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{.25}_{\Lambda} z^2 + O(z^3) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Friedmann} \\ \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Anomalous} \\ \text{Acceleration} \end{array}$$

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{.425}_{2} z^2 + O(z^3) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Friedmann} \\ \text{Friedmann} \end{array}$$

WE PROVE: The Friedmann Universe is UNSTABLE

A small wave perturbation at the end of radiation will expand to create a large region of accelerated uniform expansion at the Center of the Wave

This induces exactly the same range of quadratic corrections to redshift vs luminosity as does Dark Energy

MOREOVER:

The self-similar perturbations we identified at the end of the radiation epoch TRIGGER this instability when p=0

WE PROVE: The Friedmann Universe is UNSTABLE

The self-similar perturbations we identified at the end of the radiation epoch TRIGGER this instability when p=0

This induces exactly the same range of Q as does Dark Energy:

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + Q z^2 + O(z^3)$$



$$H_{0}d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{.25(1 + \Omega_{\Lambda})}_{Q} z^{2} - .125\left(1 + \frac{2}{3}\Omega_{\Lambda} - \Omega_{\Lambda}^{2}\right) z^{3} + O(z^{4})$$

$$\underbrace{.25 \leq Q \leq .5}_{\text{as}}$$

$$\Omega_{M} + \Omega_{\Lambda} = 1$$

$$\underbrace{0 \leq \Omega_{\Lambda} \leq 1}$$

• In the case $\Omega_M = .3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = .7$ this gives

$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 z^2 - .1804 z^3 + O(z^4)$

Our Wave Theory

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{Q(z_2, w_0)}_{22} z^2 + C(z_2, w_0, w_2) z^3 + O(z^4)$$

$$\underbrace{.25 \le Q \le .5}_{\text{as}} \qquad z'_2 = -3w_0 \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2\right)$$

$$w'_0 = -\left(\frac{1}{6}z_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_0 + w_0^2\right)$$

Orbit evolves to a NEW STABLE REST POINT

• A Wave with Underdensity:

$$\frac{\rho_{SM} - \rho_{ssw}}{\rho_{SM}} = 7.45 \times 10^{-6}$$

 $H_0 d_\ell = z + .425z^2 + .3591z^3 + O(z^4)$

The ANSATZ that triggers the instability:

The ANSATZ that triggers the instability:

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4),$$

$$\begin{cases} z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6), \\ w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4), \end{cases}$$



"Fractional Distance to Hubble Length"

$$\begin{aligned} z(t,\xi) &= \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6), \\ w(t,\xi) &= \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4), \end{aligned}$$

$$\xi = \frac{r}{ct}$$
 "Fractional Distance to Hubble Length"

$$z(t,\xi) = \rho r^2$$

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4),$$

$\xi = \frac{r}{ct}$ "Fractional Distance to Hubble Length"

$$z(t,\xi) = \rho r^2$$
 "Dimensionless Density"

$$w(t,\xi) = \frac{v}{\xi}$$

"Dimensionless Velocity"

$$\left(z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),\right)$$

Uniform Density out to errors ξ^4

$$z(t,\xi) = \rho r^2$$

$$\rho(t) \sim \frac{\left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)}{t^2} = \frac{f(t)}{t^2}$$

THEOREM: The p = 0 waves take the asymptotic form

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4),$$

where $z_2(t), z_4(t), w_0(t), w_2(t)$ evolve according to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} -t\dot{z}_2 &= 3w_0 \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2\right), \\ -t\dot{z}_4 &= -5\left\{\frac{2}{27}z_2 + \frac{4}{3}w_2 - \frac{1}{18}z_2^2 + z_2w_2\right\} \\ &-5w_0\left\{\frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{9}z_2 + z_4 - \frac{1}{12}z_2^2\right\}, \\ -t\dot{w}_0 &= \frac{1}{6}z_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_0 + w_0^2, \\ -t\dot{w}_2 &= \frac{1}{10}z_4 + \frac{4}{9}w_0 - \frac{1}{3}w_2 + \frac{1}{24}z_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}z_2w_0 \\ &-\frac{1}{3}w_0^2 + 4w_0w_2 - \frac{1}{4}w_0^2z_2. \end{aligned}$$

Our Wave Theory



Orbit evolves to a NEW STABLE REST POINT

Our Wave Theory

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{Q(z_2, w_0)}_{22} z^2 + C(z_2, w_0, w_2) z^3 + O(z^4)$$

$$\underbrace{.25 \le Q \le .5}_{\text{as}} \qquad z'_2 = -3w_0 \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2\right)$$

$$w'_0 = -\left(\frac{1}{6}z_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_0 + w_0^2\right)$$

Orbit evolves to a NEW STABLE REST POINT

• A Wave with Underdensity:

$$\frac{\rho_{SM} - \rho_{ssw}}{\rho_{SM}} = 7.45 \times 10^{-6}$$

 $H_0 d_\ell = z + .425z^2 + .3591z^3 + O(z^4)$







• The relative underdensity at the end of radiation:

$$\frac{\rho_{SM} - \rho_{ssw}}{\rho_{SM}} = 7.45 \times 10^{-6}$$

• The relative underdensity at present time:

$$\frac{\rho_{ssw}(t_0)}{\rho_{SM}(t_0)} = .1438 \approx \frac{1}{7}.$$

The relative underdensity at the end of radiation:

$$\frac{\rho_{SM} - \rho_{ssw}}{\rho_{SM}} = 7.45 \times 10^{-6}$$

The relative underdensity at present time:

$$\frac{\rho_{ssw}(t_0)}{\rho_{SM}(t_0)} = .1438 \approx \frac{1}{7}.$$

Conclude: An under-density of one part in 10^6 at the end of radiation produces a seven-fold under-density at present time...

Conclude: An under-density of one part in 10^6 at the end of radiation produces a seven-fold under-density at present time...

CONCLUDE:

The Standard Model is Unstable to Perturbation by this I-parameter family of Waves

Comparison with Dark Energy:

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 \, z^2 - .1804 \, z^3$$

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 z^2 + .3591 z^3$$

Dark

Energy

$$z \sim \frac{d_{\ell}}{H_0} \sim \frac{r}{ct} \sim \xi$$

Measures Fractional Distance to Hubble Length z << 1

A prediction: The wave contributes MORE to the Anomalous Acceleration far from the center

 d_ℓ $\sim \frac{u_\ell}{H_0} \sim \frac{r}{ct} \sim \xi$

Measures Fractional Distance to Hubble Length





The wave creates a

UNIFORMLY EXPANDING SPACETIME

with an

ANOMALOUS ACCELERATION

in a

LARGE, FLAT, CENTER-INDEPENDENT

region near the center of the wave

A new ansatz for corrections to the p=0 Friedmann that closes:

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$

 $z \sim density$ $w \sim velocity$

 $\xi = \frac{r}{t} \sim fractional \ distance \ to \ Hubble \ Length$

THEOREM: Neglecting $O(\xi^4)$ errors, as the orbit tends to the Stable Rest Point:

• The Density drops FASTER than SM:

$$\rho_{_{WAVE}}(t) = \frac{k_0}{t^3(1+\bar{w})} \quad \rho_{_{SM}}(t) = \frac{4}{3t^2}$$

where $\bar{w}(t)$ and $k_0(t)$ change exponentially slowly.

The metric tends to FLAT MINKOWSKI:

$$\mathrm{ds}^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

Theorem: Let $t = t_0$ denote present time since the Big Bang in the wave model and $t = t_{DE}$ present time since the Big Bang in the Dark Energy model. Then there exists a unique value of the acceleration parameter $\underline{a} = 0.99999959 \approx 1 - 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$ corresponding to an under-density relative to the SM at the end of radiation, such that the subsequent p = 0 evolution starting from this initial data evolves to time $t = t_0$ with $H = H_0$ and Q = .425, in agreement with the values of H and Q at $t = t_{DE}$ in the Dark Energy model. The cubic correction at $t = t_0$ in the wave theory is then C = 0.3591, while Dark Energy theory gives C = -0.1804 at $t = t_{DE}$. The times are related by $t_0 \approx (.95) t_{DE}$.

Around 2007:

Other research groups began exploring the possibility that the anomalous acceleration might be due to the earth lying near the center of a large region of Under-Density

We first saw publication in 2009



DARK ENERGY Does it really exist?

Or does Earth occupy a very unusual place in the universe?

Color Vision Our Eyes Reflect Primate Evolution

Green Lasers The Next Innovation

in Chip-Based Beams

Soldiers' Stress What Doctors Get Wrong about PTSD


This proposal is still taken seriously in Astrophysics

Prokopek...2013 (Astrophysicist, Utrecht University)

Some of the more important discrepancies are as follows:

- the ΛCDM model predicts more galactic satellites (dwarf galaxies) than what has been observed [11] (this can be in part cured by a large merger rate, see however Ref. [12]);
- the Gaussian model for the origin of Universe's structure has difficulties in explaining the controversial large scale (dark) flow of galaxies [13] (even though the Planck satellite has not seen evidence of such flows in its data), and outliers such as the large relative speed in the Bullet Cluster collision [14];
- our Universe is supplied with a large number of voids, whose sizes and distribution may not be consistent with the ΛCDM model; moreover the voids should be filled with dwarfs and low surface brightness galaxies [15], which is not what has been observed [16];
- there are hints [17] that the structure growth rate is somewhat slower from that predicted by the Λ CDM model (alternatively we live in a universe with the equation of state parameter for dark energy $w_{de} < -1$);
- the disagreement between the Hubble Key Project and supernovae measurements of the Hubble constant [18, 19] and that obtained from the Planck data could be an indication that we live in an underdense region, whose size and magnitude would be difficult to reconcile with the standard Λ CDM with Gaussian initial perturbations (see however [20]).

Details of our Analysis

Main Steps:

(I) Derivation of the p=0 Einstein equations in a new coordinate system aligned with the structure of the waves.

- (2) A new ansatz for the Corrections to SM such that the asymptotic equations close.
- (3) Putting the Initial Data from the Radiation Epoch into the gauge of our asymptotics.
- (4) The Redshift vs Luminosity determined by the Corrections.

I. A New Formulation of the p=0 Einstein Equations

The Einstein equations for spherically symmetric spacetimes take their Simplest Form in Standard Schwarzschild Coordinates (SSC)

l.e.

 $ds^{2} = -D(t,\bar{r})d\bar{t}^{2} + E(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{t}d\bar{r} + F(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{r}^{2} + G(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\Omega^{2}$

 $\mathrm{ds}^2 = -D(t,\bar{r})d\bar{t}^2 + E(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{t}d\bar{r} + F(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{r}^2 + G(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\Omega^2$

Transforms to SSC form:

 $\mathrm{ds}^2 = -D(t,\bar{r})d\bar{t}^2 + E(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{t}d\bar{r} + F(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{r}^2 + G(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\Omega^2$

 $(t, \bar{r}) \to (t, r)$

Transforms to SSC form:

 $\mathrm{ds}^2 = -D(t,\bar{r})d\bar{t}^2 + E(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{t}d\bar{r} + F(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\bar{r}^2 + G(\bar{t},\bar{r})d\Omega^2$

Transforms to SSC form:

 $(\bar{t},\bar{r}) \to (t,r)$



$$ds^{2} = -B(t,r)dt^{2} + \frac{1}{A(t,r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$
$$SSC$$

The Equations In SSC

Standard Schwarzschild Coordinates



$$\left\{-r\frac{A_r}{A} + \frac{1-A}{A}\right\} = \frac{\kappa B}{A}r^2T^{00} \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{A_t}{A} = \frac{\kappa B}{A} r T^{01} \tag{2}$$

$$\left\{ r\frac{B_r}{B} - \frac{1-A}{A} \right\} = \frac{\kappa}{A^2} r^2 T^{11}$$
(3)

$$-\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{A}\right)_{tt} - B_{rr} + \Phi \right\} = 2\frac{\kappa B}{A}r^2T^{22}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\Phi = \frac{B_t A_t}{2A^2 B} - \frac{1}{2A} \left(\frac{A_t}{A}\right)^2 - \frac{B_r}{r} - \frac{BA_r}{rA} + \frac{B}{2} \left(\frac{B_r}{B}\right)^2 - \frac{B}{2} \frac{B_r}{B} \frac{A_r}{A}.$$



Theorem: (Te-Gr) The equations close in a "locally inertial" formulation of (1), (2) & Div T=0:

$$\{T_{M}^{00}\}_{,0} + \{\sqrt{AB}T_{M}^{01}\}_{,1} = -\frac{2}{r}\sqrt{AB}T_{M}^{01},$$

$$\{T_{M}^{01}\}_{,0} + \{\sqrt{AB}T_{M}^{11}\}_{,1} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{AB}\left\{\frac{4}{r}T_{M}^{11} + \frac{(1-A)}{Ar}(T_{M}^{00} - T_{M}^{11})$$

$$+ \frac{2\kappa r}{A}(T_{M}^{00}T_{M}^{11} - (T_{M}^{01})^{2}) - 4rT^{22}\right\},$$

$$rA_{r} = (1-A) - \kappa r^{2}T_{M}^{00},$$

$$rB_{r} = \frac{B(1-A)}{A} + \frac{B}{A}\kappa r^{2}T_{M}^{11}.$$

$$(1)$$

$$T_M^{00} = \frac{\rho c^2 + p}{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2} \qquad T_M^{01} = \frac{\rho c^2 + p}{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2} \frac{v}{c}$$
$$T_M^{11} = \frac{p + \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2}{1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2} \rho c^2 \qquad T^{22} = \frac{p}{r^2} \qquad v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \frac{u^1}{u^0}$$

Setting p=0:



Everything can be written in terms of T_M^{00} and $\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)$:

 $T_M^{01} = T_M^{00} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) \qquad T_M^{22} = T_M^{00} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2$

Substituting into the Equations gives:

$$(T_M^{00})_t + \left\{ \sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) (T_M^{00}) \right\}_r = -\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{r} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) (T_M^{00})$$

$$(\left(\frac{v}{c}\right) T_M^{00}\right)_t + \left\{ \sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 T_M^{00} \right\}_r = -\frac{\sqrt{AB}}{2r} \left\{ 4 \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{A} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right) \right\} T_M^{00}$$

$$\frac{A'}{A} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) - \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00}$$

 $\frac{B'}{B} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) + \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2$

Substituting into the Equations gives:

$$\begin{split} \left(T_{M}^{00}\right)_{t} + \left\{\sqrt{AB} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v} \\ \boldsymbol{c} \end{pmatrix} \left(T_{M}^{00}\right)\right\}_{r} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{r} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v} \\ \boldsymbol{c} \end{pmatrix} \left(T_{M}^{00}\right)_{t} \\ \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v} \\ \boldsymbol{c} \end{pmatrix} T_{M}^{00}\right)_{t} + \left\{\sqrt{AB} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v} \\ \boldsymbol{c} \end{pmatrix}^{2} T_{M}^{00}\right\}_{r} &= \\ &-\frac{\sqrt{AB}}{2r} \left\{4 \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v} \\ \boldsymbol{c} \end{pmatrix}^{2} + \frac{1-A}{A} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{\boldsymbol{c}}\right)^{2}\right)\right\} T_{M}^{00} \\ &\frac{A'}{A} &= \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) - \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_{M}^{00} \\ &\frac{B'}{B} &= \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) + \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_{M}^{00} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{\boldsymbol{c}}\right)^{2} \end{split}$$

Everything in terms of T_M^{00} and $\binom{v}{c}$

Substituting into the Equations gives:

$$(T_M^{00})_t + \left\{ \sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) (T_M^{00}) \right\}_r = -\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{r} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right) (T_M^{00})$$
(1)
$$(\left(\frac{v}{c}\right) T_M^{00})_t + \left\{ \sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 T_M^{00} \right\}_r = -\frac{\sqrt{AB}}{2r} \left\{ 4 \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{A} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right) \right\} T_M^{00}$$
(2)
$$\frac{A'}{A} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) - \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00}$$
$$\frac{B'}{B} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) + \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2$$

Note: Equations are Singular at r = 0

The 1/r singularity reflects the fact that waves coming into r = 0 can amplify and blowup.

Since we are only interested in solutions representing outgoing, expanding waves, we look for natural changes of variables that regularize the equations at r = 0. First: set c = 1, collect v/r, and assume v/r smooth at r=0:

$$\left(T_M^{00}\right)_t + r \left\{\sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{r}\right) T_M^{00}\right\}_r = 3\sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{r}\right) T_M^{00}$$
$$\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_t + r\sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{r}\right) \left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_r = -\sqrt{AB} \left\{\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{2Ar^2} \left(1 - r^2 \left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^2\right)\right\}$$

$$\frac{A'}{A} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) - \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00}$$
$$\frac{B'}{B} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) + \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2$$

Next: use (I) to eliminate T_M^{00} from (2)

$$(T_M^{00})_t + \left\{ \sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right) (T_M^{00}) \right\}_r = -\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{r} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right) (T_M^{00}) \qquad (1)$$

$$\left(\left(\frac{v}{c} \right) T_M^{00} \right)_t + \left\{ \sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^2 T_M^{00} \right\}_r = -\frac{\sqrt{AB}}{2r} \left\{ 4 \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{A} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^2 \right) \right\} T_M^{00} \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{A'}{A} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1 \right) - \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00}$$

$$\frac{B'}{B} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1 \right) + \frac{\kappa r}{A} T_M^{00} \left(\frac{v}{c} \right)^2$$

l.e.

$$\left(\left(\frac{v}{c}\right) T_M^{00} \right)_t + \left\{ \sqrt{AB} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 T_M^{00} \right\}_r =$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{AB}}{2r} \left\{ 4 \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{A} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 \right) \right\} T_M^{00}$$

$$(2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{LHS} &= r\left(\frac{v}{r}\right) \left[\left(T_M^{00}\right)_t + \left\{\sqrt{AB}r\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)T_M^{00}\right\}_r \right] \\ &+ rT_M^{00}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_t + rT_M^{00}\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\left(r\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\right)_r \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(T_M^{00}\right)_t + \left\{\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)\left(T_M^{00}\right)\right\}_r = -\frac{2\sqrt{AB}}{r}\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)\left(T_M^{00}\right) \tag{1}$$

Substitute (1) into (2):

Obtain:

$$-2\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^{2}rT_{M}^{00}+rT_{M}^{00}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_{t}$$

$$+rT_{M}^{00}\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\left(r\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\right)_{r}$$
(2)

$$= -\frac{\sqrt{AB}}{2r} \left\{ 4\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{A} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right) \right\} T_M^{00}$$

Obtain:

$$-2\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^{2}rT_{M}^{00}+rT_{M}^{00}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_{t}$$

$$+rT_{M}^{00}\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\left(r\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\right)_{r}$$
(2)

$$= -\frac{\sqrt{AB}}{2r} \left\{ 4\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{A} \left(1 - \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\right) \right\} T_M^{00}$$

Linearity in
$$T_M^{00}$$
 \rightarrow Divide by rT_M^{00}

Next: simplify and collect: $z = \kappa T_M^{00} r^2$

$$\left(\kappa T_M^{00} r^2\right)_t + \left\{\sqrt{AB} \frac{v}{r} \left(\kappa T_M^{00} r^2\right)\right\}_r = -2\sqrt{AB} \frac{v}{r} \left(\kappa T_M^{00} r^2\right)$$

 $\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_t + r\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_r = -\sqrt{AB}\left\{\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{2Ar^2}\left(1 - r^2\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^2\right)\right\}$

$$r\frac{A'}{A} = \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) - \frac{1}{A}\kappa T_M^{00}r^2$$

$$r\frac{B'}{B} = \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{A}\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 \kappa T_M^{00} r^2$$

Simplify and collect: $z = \kappa T_M^{00} r^2$



$$\left(\kappa T_M^{00} r^2\right)_t + \left\{\sqrt{AB} \frac{v}{r} \left(\kappa T_M^{00} r^2\right)\right\}_r = -2\sqrt{AB} \frac{v}{r} \left(\kappa T_M^{00} r^2\right)$$

 $\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_t + r\sqrt{AB}\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)_r = -\sqrt{AB}\left\{\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^2 + \frac{1-A}{2Ar^2}\left(1 - r^2\left(\frac{v}{r}\right)^2\right)\right\}$

$$r\frac{A'}{A} = \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) - \frac{1}{A}\kappa T_M^{00}r^2$$
$$r\frac{B'}{B} = \left(\frac{1}{A} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{A}\left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2\kappa T_M^{00}r$$

(This is the self-similar variable in the waves from the radiation epoch!)

$$(t,r) \rightarrow (t,\xi)$$

$$(t,r) \rightarrow (t,\xi) \qquad \xi = rac{r}{t}$$

$$(t,r) \rightarrow (t,\xi) \qquad \xi = rac{r}{t}$$

$$egin{aligned} & \left(T_M^{00}, v
ight)
ightarrow (z, w) \ & z &= \kappa T_M^{00} \, r^2, \quad w = rac{v}{\xi} \end{aligned}$$

$$(t,r) \to (t,\xi) \qquad \xi = \frac{t}{t}$$

n

$$(T_M^{00}, v) \to (z, w)$$

$$z = \kappa T_M^{00} r^2, \quad w = \frac{v}{\xi}$$

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(t,r) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right) f(t,\xi)$

Substituting into (1) and (2) we obtain the following dimensionless eqns:

$$tz_t + \xi \{ (-1 + Dw)z \}_{\xi} = -Dwz,$$
 (1)

 $tw_{t} + \xi \left(-1 + Dw\right) w_{\xi} = w - D \left\{ w^{2} + \frac{1 - \xi^{2} w^{2}}{2A} \left[\frac{1 - A}{\xi^{2}}\right] \right\}, \quad (2)$

Where: $D = \sqrt{AB}$

Take A and D instead of A and B:

Take A and D instead of A and B:

$$\begin{split} \xi A_{\xi} &= (A-1) - z, \\ \xi \frac{B_{\xi}}{B} &= \frac{1}{A} \left\{ 1 - A + \xi^2 w^2 z \right\}, \\ \xi (\sqrt{AB})_{\xi} &= \sqrt{AB} \left\{ (1-A) - \frac{(1-\xi^2 w^2)}{2} z. \right. \end{split}$$

Take A and D instead of A and B:

$$\xi A_{\xi} = (A - 1) - z,$$

$$\xi \frac{B_{\xi}}{B} = \frac{1}{A} \left\{ 1 - A + \xi^2 w^2 z \right\},$$

$$\xi (\sqrt{AB})_{\xi} = \sqrt{AB} \left\{ (1 - A) - \frac{(1 - \xi^2 w^2)}{2} z. \right\}$$

$$\xi A_{\xi} = (A - 1) - z$$

$$\xi (D)_{\xi} = D \left\{ (1 - A) - \frac{(1 - \xi^2 w^2)}{2} z \right\}$$

This leads to the following Dimensionless Formulation of the p=0 Einstein Equations:
Einstein Equations when p=0

$$tz_t + \xi \left\{ (-1 + Dw)z \right\}_{\xi} = -Dwz,$$

$$tw_t + \xi \left(-1 + Dw \right) w_{\xi} = w - D \left\{ w^2 + \frac{1 - \xi^2 w^2}{2A} \left[\frac{1 - A}{\xi^2} \right] \right\},$$

$$\xi A_{\xi} = (A - 1) - z,$$
$$\frac{\xi D_{\xi}}{D} = (1 - A) - \frac{(1 - \xi^2 w^2)}{2} z.$$

2. The Ansatz and Asymptotics for the **Corrections:**

$$z(t,\xi) = z_F(\xi) + \Delta z(t,\xi)$$
$$w(t,\xi) = w_F(\xi) + \Delta w(t,\xi)$$
$$A(t,\xi) = A_F(\xi) + \Delta A(t,\xi)$$
$$D(t,\xi) = D_F(\xi) + \Delta D(t,\xi)$$

$$z(t,\xi) = z_F(\xi) + \Delta z(t,\xi)$$
$$w(t,\xi) = w_F(\xi) + \Delta w(t,\xi)$$
$$A(t,\xi) = A_F(\xi) + \Delta A(t,\xi)$$
$$D(t,\xi) = D_F(\xi) + \Delta D(t,\xi)$$

• The Standard Model is Self-Similar:

$$z_F = \frac{4}{3}\xi^2 + \frac{40}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$w_F = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$
$$A_F = 1 - \frac{4}{9}\xi^2 - \frac{8}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$D_F = 1 - \frac{1}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$

$$z(t,\xi) = z_F(\xi) + \Delta z(t,\xi)$$
$$w(t,\xi) = w_F(\xi) + \Delta w(t,\xi)$$
$$A(t,\xi) = A_F(\xi) + \Delta A(t,\xi)$$
$$D(t,\xi) = D_F(\xi) + \Delta D(t,\xi)$$

• The Standard Model is Self-Similar:

$$z_F = \frac{4}{3}\xi^2 + \frac{40}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$w_F = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$
$$A_F = 1 - \frac{4}{9}\xi^2 - \frac{8}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$D_F = 1 - \frac{1}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$

$$z(t,\xi) = z_F(\xi) + \Delta z(t,\xi)$$
$$w(t,\xi) = w_F(\xi) + \Delta w(t,\xi)$$
$$A(t,\xi) = A_F(\xi) + \Delta A(t,\xi)$$
$$D(t,\xi) = D_F(\xi) + \Delta D(t,\xi)$$

$$\Delta z = z_2(t)\xi^2 + z_4(t)\xi^4$$
$$\Delta w = w_0(t) + w_2(t)\xi^2$$
$$\Delta A = A_2(t)\xi^2 + A_4(t)\xi^4$$
$$\Delta D = D_2(t)\xi^2$$

- Note: Corrections only involve even powers of $~\xi~$
- The Standard Model is Self-Similar:

$$z_F = \frac{4}{3}\xi^2 + \frac{40}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$w_F = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$
$$A_F = 1 - \frac{4}{9}\xi^2 - \frac{8}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$D_F = 1 - \frac{1}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4),$$

• Reiterate:

We don't use co-moving coordinates, but rather write the SSC eqns in (t, ξ) -coordinates.

$$ds^{2} = -B(t,r)dt^{2} + \frac{1}{A(t,r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$
$$\xi = r/t \qquad D = \sqrt{AB}$$

Equations for the Corrections to SM

 When we plug into the equations a remarkable simplification occurs:

$$\left(A_2 = -\frac{1}{3}z_2, \quad A_4 = -\frac{1}{5}z_4, \quad D_2 = -\frac{1}{12}z_2\right)$$

Equations for the Corrections to SM

 When we plug into the equations a remarkable simplification occurs:

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{3}z_2, \quad A_4 = -\frac{1}{5}z_4, \quad D_2 = -\frac{1}{12}z_2$$

• This is a coordinate gauge condition reflecting the serendipity of our (t, ξ) -coordinate system!!

Plugging Ansatz into Equations...

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{3}z_2, \quad A_4 = -\frac{1}{5}z_4, \quad D_2 = -\frac{1}{12}z_2$$

and

Plugging

$$\begin{aligned} z(t,\xi) &= z_F(\xi) + z_2(t)\xi^2 + z_4(t)\xi^4 \\ w(t,\xi) &= w_F(\xi) + w_0(t) + w_2(t)\xi^2 \\ A(t,\xi) &= A_F(\xi) + A_2(t)\xi^2 + A_4(t)\xi^4 \\ D(t,\xi) &= D_F(\xi) + D_2(\xi)\xi^2 \end{aligned}$$

into equations:

$$\begin{aligned} tz_t + \xi \left\{ (-1 + Dw)z \right\}_{\xi} &= -Dwz \\ tw_t + \xi \left(-1 + Dw \right) w_{\xi} &= \\ w - D \left\{ w^2 + \frac{1 - \xi^2 w^2}{2A} \left[\frac{1 - A}{\xi^2} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Gives.

THEOREM: The p = 0 waves take the asymptotic form

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4),$$

where $z_2(t), z_4(t), w_0(t), w_2(t)$ evolve according to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} -t\dot{z}_2 &= 3w_0 \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2\right), \\ -t\dot{z}_4 &= -5\left\{\frac{2}{27}z_2 + \frac{4}{3}w_2 - \frac{1}{18}z_2^2 + z_2w_2\right\} \\ &-5w_0\left\{\frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{9}z_2 + z_4 - \frac{1}{12}z_2^2\right\}, \\ -t\dot{w}_0 &= \frac{1}{6}z_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_0 + w_0^2, \\ -t\dot{w}_2 &= \frac{1}{10}z_4 + \frac{4}{9}w_0 - \frac{1}{3}w_2 + \frac{1}{24}z_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}z_2w_0 \\ &-\frac{1}{3}w_0^2 + 4w_0w_2 - \frac{1}{4}w_0^2z_2. \end{aligned}$$

The Corrections to SM evolve according to

$$-t\dot{z}_{2} = 3w_{0}\left(\frac{4}{3}+z_{2}\right),$$

$$-t\dot{z}_{4} = -5\left\{\frac{2}{27}z_{2}+\frac{4}{3}w_{2}-\frac{1}{18}z_{2}^{2}+z_{2}w_{2}\right\}$$

$$-5w_{0}\left\{\frac{4}{3}-\frac{2}{9}z_{2}+z_{4}-\frac{1}{12}z_{2}^{2}\right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} -t\dot{w}_0 &= \frac{1}{6}z_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_0 + w_0^2, \\ -t\dot{w}_2 &= \frac{1}{10}z_4 + \frac{4}{9}w_0 - \frac{1}{3}w_2 + \frac{1}{24}z_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}z_2w_0 \\ &- \frac{1}{3}w_0^2 + 4w_0w_2 - \frac{1}{4}w_0^2z_2, \end{aligned}$$

• Note: RHS is Autonomous!

We can make LHS Automomous too!

$$\begin{aligned} -z'_{2} &= -t\dot{z}_{2} = 3w_{0}\left(\frac{4}{3} + z_{2}\right), \\ -z'_{4} &= -t\dot{z}_{4} = -5\left\{\frac{2}{27}z_{2} + \frac{4}{3}w_{2} - \frac{1}{18}z_{2}^{2} + z_{2}w_{2}\right\} \\ &-5w_{0}\left\{\frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{9}z_{2} + z_{4} - \frac{1}{12}z_{2}^{2}\right\}, \\ -w'_{0} &= -t\dot{w}_{0} = \frac{1}{6}z_{2} + \frac{1}{3}w_{0} + w_{0}^{2}, \\ -w'_{2} &= -t\dot{w}_{2} = \frac{1}{10}z_{4} + \frac{4}{9}w_{0} - \frac{1}{3}w_{2} + \frac{1}{24}z_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}z_{2}w_{0} \\ &-\frac{1}{3}w_{0}^{2} + 4w_{0}w_{2} - \frac{1}{4}w_{0}^{2}z_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tau = ln(t) \rightarrow t \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{d}{d\tau} \equiv t \quad \text{LHS Autonomous}$$

Autonomous Eqns for Corrections to SM

$$\begin{aligned} -z'_{2} &= 3w_{0} \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_{2}\right), \\ -z'_{4} &= -5 \left\{\frac{2}{27}z_{2} + \frac{4}{3}w_{2} - \frac{1}{18}z_{2}^{2} + z_{2}w_{2}\right\} \\ &- 5w_{0} \left\{\frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{9}z_{2} + z_{4} - \frac{1}{12}z_{2}^{2}\right\}, \\ -w'_{0} &= \frac{1}{6}z_{2} + \frac{1}{3}w_{0} + w_{0}^{2}, \\ -w'_{2} &= \frac{1}{10}z_{4} + \frac{4}{9}w_{0} - \frac{1}{3}w_{2} + \frac{1}{24}z_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}z_{2}w_{0} \\ &- \frac{1}{3}w_{0}^{2} + 4w_{0}w_{2} - \frac{1}{4}w_{0}^{2}z_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

 $t_* \leq t \leq 10^{14} yr$ $\ln(t_*) \leq \tau \leq 14 \cdot \ln(10)$

Trivializes the large time simulation problem!

The Equations for the Corrections

$$\begin{aligned} -z'_{2} &= 3w_{0} \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_{2}\right), \\ -z'_{4} &= -5 \left\{\frac{2}{27}z_{2} + \frac{4}{3}w_{2} - \frac{1}{18}z_{2}^{2} + z_{2}w_{2}\right\} \\ &- 5w_{0} \left\{\frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{9}z_{2} + z_{4} - \frac{1}{12}z_{2}^{2}\right\}, \\ -w'_{0} &= \frac{1}{6}z_{2} + \frac{1}{3}w_{0} + w_{0}^{2}, \\ -w'_{2} &= \frac{1}{10}z_{4} + \frac{4}{9}w_{0} - \frac{1}{3}w_{2} + \frac{1}{24}z_{2}^{2} - \frac{1}{3}z_{2}w_{0} \\ &- \frac{1}{3}w_{0}^{2} + 4w_{0}w_{2} - \frac{1}{4}w_{0}^{2}z_{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Everything is dimensionless involving only pure numbers!

The Equations for the Corrections

 $-z_{2}' = 3w_{0}\left(\frac{4}{3}+z_{2}\right),$ $-z_{4}' = -5\left\{\frac{2}{27}z_{2}+\frac{4}{3}w_{2}-\frac{1}{18}z_{2}^{2}+z_{2}w_{2}\right\}$ Leading order $-5w_0\left\{\frac{4}{3}-\frac{2}{9}z_2+z_4-\frac{1}{12}z_2^2\right\},\$ (z_2, w_0) $-w_0' = \frac{1}{6}z_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_0 + w_0^2,$ $-w_2' = \frac{1}{10}z_4 + \frac{4}{9}w_0 - \frac{1}{3}w_2 + \frac{1}{24}z_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}z_2w_0$ $-\frac{1}{3}w_0^2 + 4w_0w_2 - \frac{1}{4}w_0^2z_2.$

Note: Leading order Eqns Uncouple!

The Leading Order Corrections...

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + O(\xi^4),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + O(\xi^2),$$

$$-z'_{2} = -t\dot{z}_{2} = 3w_{0}\left(\frac{4}{3} + z_{2}\right),$$

$$-w'_{0} = -t\dot{w}_{0} = \frac{1}{6}z_{2} + \frac{1}{3}w_{0} + w_{0}^{2}.$$

• Keep in mind that ξ is on the order of fractional distance to the Hubble Length:

 $\xi = r/ct \approx \frac{\text{arclength distance at fixed time}}{\text{distance of light travel since Big Bang}}$

• For example: At 1/10 way across the visible universe, about 1.1 billion light-years out:

$$\xi^4 \approx \frac{1}{10,000} = .0001$$

Hubbles Law:



1929: Linear relation between redshift and luminosity

Hubbles Law:



• In Fact: ξ is on the order of the redshift factor, and (z_2, w_0) determines the quadratic correction to redshift vs luminosity =anomalous acceleration

 $H_0 d_{\ell} = z + Q(z_2, w_0) z^2 + O(z^3)$ This term accounts for the corrections to the Standard Model Observed in the Supernova Data (Nobel Prize)

• In Fact: ξ is on the order of the redshift factor, and (z_2, w_0) determines the quadratic correction to redshift vs luminosity =anomalous acceleration

 $H_0 d_{\ell} = z + Q(z_2, w_0) z^2 + O(z^3)$

Determined by the value of the so-called "Deceleration Parameter" q • The cubic correction is determined by (z_2, w_0, w_2)

Determined by solving our system of four equations for (z_2, z_4, w_0, w_4) + $O(z^3)$

 $H_0 d_{\ell} = z + Q(z_2, w_0) z^2 + (C(z_2, w_0, w_2)) z^3$

• The cubic correction is determined by (z_2, w_0, w_2)

 $H_0 d_{\ell} = z + Q(z_2, w_0) z^2 + (z_2, w_0, w_2) z^3$ $+O(z^{3})$ A prediction Beyond experimental precision

• The quadratic correction is determined by our equations for (z_2, w_0)

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{Q(z_2, w_0)}{z^2} + O(z^3)$$

$$-z_{2}' = -t\dot{z}_{2} = 3w_{0}\left(\frac{4}{3} + z_{2}\right),$$

$$-w_{0}' = -t\dot{w}_{0} = \frac{1}{6}z_{2} + \frac{1}{3}w_{0} + w_{0}^{2}.$$

Numerical Simulation

The (z_2, w_0) phase portrait:

Thanks to: *pplane* Rice University





3. The Initial Data determined by the **Self-Similar Waves** from the Radiation Epoch

FRW Co-moving:

 $ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \{ dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \}$

FRW Co-moving:

FRW Self-Similar:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \left\{ dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right\}$$

$$\bar{t} = F(\eta)t; \quad \bar{r} = \eta t,$$

 $ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + R(t)^{2} \left\{ dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right\}$

FRW Self-Similar:

FRW Co-moving:

$$\bar{t} = F(\eta)t; \quad \bar{r} = \eta t,$$



FRW Co-moving:
$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + R(t)^2 \{ dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \}$$

FRW Self-Similar:
$$\overline{t} = F(\eta)t;$$

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{F(\eta)^{-\frac{1+3\sigma}{3(1+\sigma)}}}{1 - \left(\frac{2}{3(1+\sigma)\eta^{2}}\right)^{2}} d\bar{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{2}{3(1+\sigma)\eta^{2}}\right)^{2}} d\bar{r}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2} d\Omega^{2}$$

 $\bar{r} = \eta t$

$$\xi \equiv \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{t}} = \frac{\eta}{F(\eta)}; \quad \eta \equiv \frac{\bar{r}}{t}; \quad F(\eta) = \left(1 - \frac{1 - 3\sigma}{9(1 + \sigma)^2}\eta^2\right)^{\frac{3(1 + \sigma)}{2(1 + 3\sigma)}}$$


 $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma = 0 \\ p = 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ds^2 = -B_F(\xi)d\bar{t}^2 + \frac{1}{A_F(\xi)}d\bar{r}^2 + \bar{r}^2 d\Omega^2$

$$\begin{array}{c} \sigma = 0 \\ p = 0 \end{array} \quad ds^2 = -B_F(\xi) d\bar{t}^2 + \frac{1}{A_F(\xi)} d\bar{r}^2 + \bar{r}^2 d\Omega^2 \end{array}$$

$$A_F(\xi) = 1 - \frac{4}{9}\xi^2 - \frac{8}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$D_F(\xi) \equiv \sqrt{A_F B_F} = 1 - \frac{1}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4).$$

$$ds^{2} = -B_{F}(\xi)d\bar{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{A_{F}(\xi)}d\bar{r}^{2} + \bar{r}^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

 $\sigma = 0$ p = 0

$$A_F(\xi) = 1 - \frac{4}{9}\xi^2 - \frac{8}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$D_F(\xi) \equiv \sqrt{A_F B_F} = 1 - \frac{1}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4).$$

$$z_F(\xi) = \frac{4}{3}\xi^2 + \frac{40}{27}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6)$$
$$w_F \equiv \frac{v}{\xi} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{9}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$

The p=0 Friedmann Universe in Self-Similar Coordinates

Thus our equations are for the corrections to the Standard Model:

$$z(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2(t)\right)\xi^2 + \left\{\frac{40}{27} + z_4(t)\right\}\xi^4 + O(\xi^6),$$

$$w(t,\xi) = \left(\frac{2}{3} + w_0(t)\right) + \left\{\frac{2}{9} + w_2(t)\right\}\xi^2 + O(\xi^4)$$

$$(p = 0)$$



Self-similar coordinates for Friedmannwith $\overline{\xi \neq \xi}$ Pure Radiation

$$\begin{split} z_{1/3} &\equiv z_{1/3}^1(\bar{t},\bar{\xi}) = \frac{3}{4}\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{9}{16}\bar{\xi}^4 + O(\bar{\xi}^6), \\ v_{1/3} &\equiv v_{1/3}^1(\bar{t},\bar{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi} + \frac{1}{8}\bar{\xi}^3 + O(\bar{\xi}^5), \\ A_{1/3} &\equiv A_{1/3}^1(\bar{t},\bar{\xi}) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\xi}^2 - \frac{1}{8}\bar{\xi}^4 + O(\bar{\xi}^6), \\ D_{1/3} &\equiv D_{1/3}^1(\bar{t},\bar{\xi}) = 1 + O(\bar{\xi}^4). \end{split}$$

The $p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$ Friedmann Universe admits a I-parameter family of Self-Similar spacetimes that perturb the Standard Model during the Radiation Epoch:

The $p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$ Friedmann Universe admits a I-parameter family of Self-Similar spacetimes that perturb the Standard Model during the Radiation Epoch:

The p = 0 Friedmann Universe DOES NOT admit Self-Similar perturbations!

The $p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$ Friedmann Universe is embedded in I-parameter family of Self-Similar spacetimes that perturb the Standard Model during the Radiation Epoch:

The p = 0 Friedmann Universe DOES NOT admit Self-Similar perturbations!

(The topic of our PNAS and MEMOIR)

The perturbations are describe by ODE's:

$$\begin{split} \xi A_{\xi} &= -\left[\frac{4(1-A)v}{(3+v^2)G-4v}\right] \\ \xi G_{\xi} &= -G\left\{\left(\frac{1-A}{A}\right)\frac{2(1+v^2)G-4v}{(3+v^2)G-4v}-1\right\} \\ \xi v_{\xi} &= -\left(\frac{1-v^2}{2\left\{\cdot\right\}_D}\right)\left\{(3+v^2)G-4v+\frac{4\left(\frac{1-A}{A}\right)\left\{\cdot\right\}_N}{(3+v^2)G-4v}\right\} \end{split}$$

$$\{\cdot\}_{N} = \{-2v^{2} + 2(3 - v^{2})vG - (3 - v^{4})G^{2}\}\$$

$$\{\cdot\}_{D} = \{(3v^{2} - 1) - 4vG + (3 - v^{2})G^{2}\}\$$

$$G = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{AB}}$$
; $\xi = \frac{r}{t}$

 $p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$ Self-Similar perturbations of Friedmann for Pure Radiation (The topic of our PNAS and MEMOIR) $z_{1/3}^{a} = \frac{3a^{2}}{4}\bar{\xi}^{2} + \frac{3a^{2}(2+a^{2})}{16}\bar{\xi}^{4} + O(\bar{\xi}^{6})$ $v_{1/3}^a = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi} + \frac{2-a^2}{8}\bar{\xi}^3 + O(\bar{\xi}^5)$ $A_{1/3}^{a} = 1 - \frac{a^{2}}{4}\bar{\xi}^{2} + \frac{a^{2}(1-3a^{2})}{16}\bar{\xi}^{4} + O(\bar{\xi}^{6})$ $D^a_{1/3} = 1 + O(\xi^4)$

A 1-parameter family of solutions depending on the Acceleration Parameter $0 < a < \infty$

$$z_{1/3}^{a} = \frac{3a^{2}}{4}\bar{\xi}^{2} + \frac{3a^{2}(2+a^{2})}{16}\bar{\xi}^{4} + O(\bar{\xi}^{6})$$

$$v_{1/3}^{a} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi} + \frac{2-a^{2}}{8}\bar{\xi}^{3} + O(\bar{\xi}^{5})$$

$$A_{1/3}^{a} = 1 - \frac{a^{2}}{4}\bar{\xi}^{2} + \frac{a^{2}(1-3a^{2})}{16}\bar{\xi}^{4} + O(\bar{\xi}^{6})$$

$$D^{a} = 1 + O(\bar{\xi}^{4})$$

 $D_{1/3}^{a} = 1 + O(\xi^{\star})$

a = 1 is the Standard Model for Pure Radiation

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1/3}^a &= \frac{3a^2}{4}\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{3a^2(2+a^2)}{16}\bar{\xi}^4 + O(\bar{\xi}^6) \\ v_{1/3}^a &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi} + \frac{2-a^2}{8}\bar{\xi}^3 + O(\bar{\xi}^5) \\ A_{1/3}^a &= 1 - \frac{a^2}{4}\bar{\xi}^2 + \frac{a^2(1-3a^2)}{16}\bar{\xi}^4 + O(\bar{\xi}^6) \\ D_{1/3}^a &= 1 + O(\bar{\xi}^4) \end{aligned}$$

The initial data created by self-similar waves at the end of the **Radiation Epoch** depends on:

(1) The temperature T_* at which p=0

(2) The value of the acceleration parameter \boldsymbol{a}

OUR GOAL NOW: Use our equations to evolve the initial data at the end of radiation to determine

$$(a, T_*)$$

that gives the correct anomalous acceleration.

I.e., (a, T_*) that give the observed quadratic correction to redshift vs luminosity at present time



(Depending on theories of Dark Matter)

- Our simulation turns out to be entirely insensitive to the initial t_* , T_*
- I.e., we need only compute the value of the acceleration parameter that gives the correct anomalous acceleration.

• Technical Problem: The self-similar waves at the end of radiation are in the wrong gauge due to the fact that time since the Big Bang changes between p = 0 and $p = \frac{c^2}{3}\rho$

 That is: The initial data for the self-similar waves does not meet the gauge conditions for our p=0 ansatz

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{3}z_2, \quad A_4 = -\frac{1}{5}z_4, \quad D_2 = -\frac{1}{12}z_2$$

• (Resolving this held us back for close to a year!)

 Resolution: We post-process the initial data by a gauge transformation of the form---

$$t = \overline{t} + \frac{1}{2}q(\overline{t} - \overline{t}_*)^2 - \underline{t}_B$$

 That is: The initial data for the self-similar waves does not meet the gauge conditions for our p=0 ansatz

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{3}z_2, \quad A_4 = -\frac{1}{5}z_4, \quad D_2 = -\frac{1}{12}z_2$$

• (Resolving this held us back for close to a year!)

THEOREM: Let the transformation $\overline{t} \to t$ be defined by

$$t = \bar{t} + \frac{1}{2}q(\bar{t} - \bar{t}_*)^2 - t_B,$$

where q and t_B are given by

$$t_B = \bar{t}_*(1 - \alpha),$$

$$q = \frac{a^2}{16\bar{\gamma}} = \frac{a^2}{2(1+a^2)},$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{1+a^2}{1.3-a^2} \right).$$

Then, on the constant temperature surface $T = T_*$, the initial data from the self-similar waves at the end of the radiation epoch meets the gauge conditions in $(\bar{t}, \bar{\xi})$. • 2nd Technical Problem: The $T = T_*, \ \rho = \rho_*$ surfaces are distinct from the constant time $t = t_*$ surfaces

Resolution: To get the asymptotics correct we have to pull the initial data back to

$$t = t_*$$

The initial data created by self-similar waves on a constant temperature surface at the end of the **Radiation Epoch**

THEOREM: The initial data for our p = 0 evolution at time $t = t_*$ is given as a function of the acceleration parameter a and start temperature $\rho_* = aT_*^4$ by

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2}(t_{*}) &= \hat{z}_{2}, \\ z_{4}(t_{*}) &= \hat{z}_{4} + 3\hat{w}_{0} \left(\frac{4}{3} + \hat{z}_{2}\right)\gamma, \\ w_{0}(t_{*}) &= \hat{w}_{0}, \\ w_{2}(t_{*}) &= \hat{w}_{2} + \left(\frac{1}{6}\hat{z}_{2} + \frac{1}{3}\hat{w}_{0} + \hat{w}_{0}^{2}\right)\gamma, \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \hat{z}_{2} &= \left\{\frac{3a^{2}\alpha^{2}}{4} - \frac{4}{3}\right\}_{z^{2}} \\ \hat{z}_{4} &= \left\{\frac{15a^{2}(\frac{3}{2} - a^{2})\alpha^{4}}{16} - \frac{40}{27}\right\}_{z^{4}} \\ \hat{w}_{0} &= \left\{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\right\}_{v^{1}} \\ \hat{w}_{2} &= \left\{\frac{\alpha^{3}}{16}\left(9.5 - 8a^{2}\right) - \frac{2}{9}\right\}_{v^{3}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t_* = \alpha \hat{t}_* = \frac{a\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\kappa\rho_*}}, \quad \gamma = \alpha \bar{\gamma} = \frac{(1+a^2)\alpha}{8}, \quad \alpha = \frac{(1+a^2)}{5(1.3-a^2)}$$

4. Redshift vs Luminosity as a function of our corrections

A (long) Calculation gives:

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z \left\{ 1 + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} + E_2 \end{bmatrix} z + \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{8} + E_3 \end{bmatrix} z^2 \right\} + O(z^4)$$

Anomalous
Acceleration
Cubic
Correction

$$E_2 = \frac{24w_0 + 45w_0^2 + 3z_2}{4(2+3w_0)^2} = E_2(z_2, w_0),$$

$$E_3 = E_3(z_2, w_0, w_3)$$

 $E_3(z_2, w_0, w_2)$ is quite complicated:



A calculation gives:





(Each term represents a different effect...)



The initial data parameterized by acceleration parameter **Q**

The initial data cuts between the stable and unstable manifold of SM



The initial data parameterized by acceleration parameter **Q**

Under-densities Q<1 are within the domain of attraction of the Stable Rest Point

3. Comparison with the Standard Model

• Redshift vs Luminosity for k=0 Friedmann can be obtained from exact formulas: $p = \sigma \rho$

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = \frac{2}{1+3\sigma} \left\{ (1+z) - (1+z)^{\frac{1-3\sigma}{2}} \right\}.$$

• In the case $p = \sigma = 0$, we get

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + \frac{1}{4}z^2 - \frac{1}{8}z^3 + O(z^4)$$

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{1}{4} + E_2 \right] z + \left[-\frac{1}{8} + E_3 \right] z^2 \right\} + O(z^4)$$

Cosmology now assumes a Cosmological Constant with Seventy Percent Dark Energy

$$H_0 d_\ell = (1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dy}{(1+z)\sqrt{1+\Omega_M y}}. \qquad \Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda = 1$$

Taylor expanding gives:

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\Omega_M}{2} + 1 \right) z^2 + \frac{1}{6} \left(-1 - \frac{\Omega_M}{2} + \frac{3\Omega_M^2}{4} \right) z^3 + O(z^4)$$

In the case $\Omega_M = .3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = .7$ this gives

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 \, z^2 - .1804 \, z^3 + O(z^4)$$





 $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0$

IN FACT: As the Dark Energy Parameter ranges from 0 to 1, the Anomalous Acceleration ranges from .25 to .5

$$H_{0}d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\Omega_{M}}{2} + 1 \right)}_{2} z^{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(-1 - \frac{\Omega_{M}}{2} + \frac{3\Omega_{M}^{2}}{4} \right) z^{3} + O(z^{4})$$
Range: .25 to .5
as

$$0 \le \Omega_{M} \le 1$$

We get the Same Conclusion in the Wave Theory!



along the orbit from the Standard Model to the Stable Rest Point



The Anomalous Acceleration ranges from .25 to .5 along orbit from SM to Stable Rest Point $\approx Dark \ Energy$

5. Determination of the value of the **Acceleration Parameter** that matches the **Anomalous Acceleration**
We simulate our equations starting from the self-similar wave data at the end of radiation $T = T_*$, to find the value of (a, T_*) that gives the same Anomalous Acceleration as seventy percent Dark Energy when $H = H_0$:

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{.425}_{\Omega_{\Lambda}} z^2 - .1804 \, z^3 + O(z^4) \underbrace{\text{Dark Energy}}_{\Omega_{\Lambda} = .7}$$

$$H_0 d_{\ell} = z + \underbrace{[.24 + E_2]}_{Z^2} z^2 + \begin{bmatrix} -.125 + E_3 \end{bmatrix} z^3 + O(z^4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_2' &= -t\dot{z}_2 = 3w_0 \left(\frac{4}{3} + z_2\right), \\ z_4' &= -t\dot{z}_4 = -5\left\{\frac{2}{27}z_2 + \frac{4}{3}w_2 - \frac{1}{18}z_2^2 + z_2w_2\right\} \\ &- 5w_0\left\{\frac{4}{3} - \frac{2}{9}z_2 + z_4 - \frac{1}{12}z_2^2\right\}, \\ w_0' &= -t\dot{w}_0 = \frac{1}{6}z_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_0 + w_0^2, \\ w_2' &= -t\dot{w}_2 = \frac{1}{10}z_4 + \frac{4}{9}w_0 - \frac{1}{3}w_2 + \frac{1}{24}z_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}z_2w_0 \\ &- \frac{1}{3}w_0^2 + 4w_0w_2 - \frac{1}{4}w_0^2z_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$E_2 = \frac{24w_0 + 45w_0^2 + 3z_2}{4(2+3w_0)^2}$$

THE ANSWER: The value of the acceleration for the wave perturbation of SM that produces a quadradic correction of .425 at the present value of H_0 is:

$$\underline{a} = 0.99999957 = 1 - (4.3 \times 10^{-7})$$

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425z^2 + .3591z^3$$

THE ANSWER: The value of the acceleration for the wave perturbation of SM that produces a quadradic correction of .425 at the present value of H_0 is:

$$\underline{a} = 0.99999957 = 1 - (4.3 \times 10^{-7})$$

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425z^2 + .3591z^3$$

This corresponds to an relative underdensity of

$$\frac{\rho_{SM} - \rho_{ssw}}{\rho_{SM}} = 7.45 \times 10^{-6}$$













The relative underdensity at the end of radiation:

$$\frac{\rho_{SM} - \rho_{ssw}}{\rho_{SM}} = 7.45 \times 10^{-6}$$

Numerical Simulation gives the relative under-density at present time as:

$$\frac{\rho_{ssw}(t_0)}{\rho_{SM}(t_0)} = .1438 \approx \frac{1}{7}.$$

Conclude: An under-density of one part in 10⁶at the end of radiation produces a seven-fold under-density at present time! Conclude: The Standard Model is Unstable to Perturbation by this family of Waves!

Comparison with Dark Energy:

 $H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 z^2 - .1804 z^3$

 $H_0 d_\ell = z + .425z^2 + .3591z^3$



Dark

Energy

Comparison with Dark Energy:

Dark

Energy

Wave

Theory

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 \, z^2 - .1804 \, z^3$$

 $H_0 d_\ell = z + .425z^2 + .3591z^3$

The Wave Theory predicts a Larger Anomalous Acceleration far from the center than Dark Energy

Comparison with Dark Energy:

$$H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 z^2 - .1804 z^3$$

 $H_0 d_\ell = z + .425 z^2 + .3591 z^3$
Wave Theory takes More Time to $H = H_0$:

 $t_{DE} \approx 13.8$ Billion years $\approx (1.45) t_{SM}$

 $t_0 \approx .95 t_{DE}$

Conclude: The Standard Model is Unstable to Perturbation by this Family of Waves, and under-densities create an **Anomalous Acceleration**

Theorem: Let $t = t_0$ denote present time since the Big Bang in the wave model and $t = t_{DE}$ present time since the Big Bang in the Dark Energy model. Then there exists a unique value of the acceleration parameter $a = 0.99999959 \approx 1 - 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$ corresponding to an under-density relative to the SM at the end of radiation, such that the subsequent p = 0 evolution starting from this initial data evolves to time $t = t_0$ with $H = H_0$ and Q = .425, in agreement with the values of H and Q at $t = t_{DE}$ in the Dark Energy model. The cubic correction at $t = t_0$ in the wave theory is then C = 0.3591, while Dark Energy theory gives C = -0.1804 at $t = t_{DE}$. The times are related by $t_0 \approx .95 t_{DE}$.

6. The Flat Uniformly Expanding Spacetime at the Center of the Wave (Under-Dense Case: $\underline{a} < 1$) Consider the evolution of the spactime at the center obtained by neglecting all errors of order



The spacetime near the center evolves toward the Stable Rest Point













Neglecting $O(\xi^4)$ errors:The spacetime near the center evolvestoward the Stable Rest Point

• The metric tends to Flat Minkowski Spacetime which is not co-moving with the fluid

 BUT: The evolution creates a uniformly expanding density near the center, which, neglecting relativistic corrections, is *CENTER-INDEPENDENT* (like Friedmann Spacetimes) **THEOREM:** Neglecting $O(\xi^4)$, as the orbit tends to the Stable Rest Point, the density drops *FASTER* than SM,

$$\rho(t) = \frac{k_0}{t^{3(1+\bar{w})}}, \qquad \qquad \rho_{SM}(t) = \frac{4}{3t^2}.$$

where $\bar{w}(t)$ and $k_0(t)$ change exponentially slowly.

CONCLUDE: The wave creates a

UNIFORMLY EXPANDING SPACETIME with an

ANOMALOUS ACCELERATION in a

LARGE, FLAT, CENTER-INDEPENDENT

region near in the center of the wave.



CONCLUSIONS:

Our Proposal: The AA is due to a local underdensity on the scale of the supernova data, created by a self-similar wave from the radiation epoch that triggers an instability in the SM when the pressure drops to zero.

We have made no assumptions regarding the space-time far from the center of the perturbations. The consistency of this model with other observations in astrophysics would require additional assumptions.

CONCLUSIONS:

- This is arguably the simplest model for the anomalous acceleration within Einstein's original theory of GR, without requiring Dark Energy.
- It demonstrates that any local center of the Standard Model of Cosmology is unstable on the largest length scale, to perturbation by exact solutions from the Radiation Epoch.
- These perturbations are stabilized by a nearby stable rest point that generates the same accelerations as Dark Energy.
- It makes testable predictions.

QUESTIONS:

- On what scale would such waves apply?
- If these came from time-asymptotic wave patterns created in an earlier epoch, would we expect a secondary transitional wave far from the center?
- How does cosmology address the instability? Can Dark Energy help? (NO!)
- Implications of a preferred center?
- Is this more fine-tuned than Dark Energy?

Prokopek...2013 (Astrophysicist, Utrecht University) There are large scale anomalies in the data indicating a lack of uniformity on the largest length scale

The main large angular scale anomalies are [4, 5]:

a high quadrupole-octupole alignment (if accidental, it would occur in about 3% cases);

- a low variance in the lower galactic ecliptic plane and a low skewness in the southern plane;
- a northern/southern ecliptic hemisphere asymmetry (the northern hemisphere correlation function is featureless and lacks power on large angular scales);
- phase correlations on large angular scales shown in figure 2, whose significance is more than three standard deviations and which imply that there are non-Gaussian features on large angular scales;

Prokopek...2013 (Astrophysicist, Utrecht University)

- a dipolar asymmetry, which includes a dipolar modulation and a dipolar power asymmetry;
- a parity asymmetry (which is related to the dipolar modulation) that comes in two disguises: a parity reflection asymmetry and a mirror asymmetry, both of which show significant statistical evidence for low multipoles;
- a very cold spot (on angular scale of about 5^o with significance of more than four standard deviations);
- a lack of power on one hemisphere on angular scales corresponding to the multipoles ℓ ∈ [5, 25] that has statistical significance of almost three standard deviations.



FINAL COMMENT

Every aspect of this work came from Applied Mathematics, not Physics

Whatever its implications to Physics, it stands on its own as a self-contained model in Applied Mathematics Mathematics is part of physics... [the] part of physics where experiments are cheap.

-Arnold, Paris, 1997

#